

systems. In the years following that decision, lower courts around the country have been developing new case law governing Fourth Amendment limitations on such deployment. Plaintiffs submit that conducting an oral argument on the motion to dismiss will permit the parties to assist the Court in applying this constantly evolving constitutional law to the surveillance system Plaintiffs are challenging in this case.

Dated: April 25, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

NEW CIVIL LIBERTIES ALLIANCE
1225 19th Street NW, Suite 450
Washington, DC 20036
202-869-5210

By: /s/ Richard A. Samp
Richard A. Samp, Virginia Bar No. 33856
rich.samp@ncla.legal
Sheng Li, Md. Bar No. 1508180001
sheng.li@ncla.legal

Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic filing on April 25, 2022, on all counsel of record on the Service List.

/s/ Richard A. Samp

Service List: Ann R. Flanigan, aflanigan@wsh-law.com
Kaitlyn N. Kelley, kkelley@wsh-law.com
Weiss Serota Helfman Cole & Bierman, P.L.
200 East Broward Blvd., Suite 1900
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301