1	Aditya Dynar (031583)	
2	New Civil Liberties Alliance	
3	1225 19th St. NW, Suite 450 Washington, DC 20036	
	(202) 869-5210	
4	Adi.Dynar@NCLA.legal Attorney for Appellant	
5	2 100 mcy joi 2 1ppeumi	
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA	
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA	
8	PHILLIP B.,	
9	144 oll out	Case No.
10	Appellant	Case No.
11	VS.	
12	GREGORY MCKAY;	
	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF	
13	CHILD SAFETY,	
14	Appellees	
15	NOTICE OF APPEAL FOR	
16	JUDICIAL REVIEW OF	
17	ADMINISTRA	TIVE DECISION
18		
19	Pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-904, Phillip B. appeals from the final administrative decision	
20	in In the Matter of Phillip B., issued by Gregory McKay, Director of Arizona Department of	
21	Child Safety (DCS), on July 28, 2019, in Cause No. 19C-1028237-DCS.	
22	Pursuant to IRAD Rule 4 Appellant includes the following items in this Notice of	
23	Appeal:	
	1. The caption of the case and the administrative agency case number are:	
24	In the Matter of Phillip B.	
25	Cause No. 19C-1028237-DCS.	
26	5	

- 2. The party or parties filing the appeal are as follows: Phillip B.
- 3. The final administrative decision from which Appellant takes an appeal is *In the Matter of Phillip B.*, Cause No. 19C-1028237-DCS, which was issued on July 28, 2019.
- 4. The findings and decision or part of the findings and decision sought to be reviewed are contained in the Decision and Order issued by Director McKay on July 28, 2019, and the Decision issued by Administrative Law Judge Velva Moses-Thompson, Office of Administrative Hearings, on July 1, 2019.
 - 5. The issues presented for review are as follows:
 - (a) Whether A.R.S. §§ 8-804, 8-811, Ariz. Admin. Code §§ R21-1-501(13), R21-1-501(17), which authorize reports and entry of findings of abuse or neglect on the Arizona Central Registry based on "probable cause" are unconstitutional, facially or as applied to Phillip B., under the state and federal constitutions.
 - (b) Whether A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.08(B), 41-1092.08(F), are unconstitutional, facially or as applied to Phillip B., under the state and federal constitutions.
 - (c) Whether the administrative process that occurred in this case is unconstitutional under the state and federal constitutions, violates the Uniform Administrative Hearings law (A.R.S. §§ 41-1092–1092.12), and/or the Adjudicative Proceedings law (A.R.S. §§ 41-1061–1067).
 - (d) Whether the agency action is contrary to law, is not supported by substantial evidence, is arbitrary and capricious or is an abuse of discretion under A.R.S. § 12-910(E).
 - 6. Appellant requests a trial de novo, and a trial by jury.
- 7. Appellant requests a stay of the final administrative decision pending the final disposition of the appeal, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-911.

1	8. Appellant requests an award of attorneys' fees and costs incurred pursuant to		
2	A.R.S. §§ 41-1001.01, 12-348, and the private attorney general doctrine.		
3	Dated this 30th day of August 2019.		
4	For Phillip B., Appellant		
5	Zitza agner		
6	Aditya Dynar (031583) New Civil Liberties Alliance		
7	1225 19th St. NW, Suite 450		
8	Washington, DC 20036 (202) 869-5210		
9	Adi.Dynar@NCLA.legal Attorney for Appellant		
10	2 Ivomo) joi 2 ippowiii		
11	The following appeared before the agency:		
12	Michael Kielsky, Counsel for Appellant		
13	Udall Shumway PLC 1138 N. Alma School Rd., Suite 101		
14	Mesa, AZ 85201		
15	(480) 461-5300 MK@UdallShumway.com		
16	Keila Molina, Esq.		
17	Asst. Attorney General		
18	P.O. Box 6123 (040A/CFPD) Phoenix, AZ 85005		
19	(602) 542-8263 DCSPSRTmail@azag.gov		
20 21			
22	Shawn Clayton Fuller, General Counsel Arizona Department of Child Safety		
23	3003 N. Central Ave., 23rd Floor (C010-23A) Phoenix, AZ 85012		
24			
25	Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 W. Washington St., Suite 101		
26	Phoenix, AZ 85007		
20			

to